

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,724	07/15/2003	Ikuya Kurosaki	TAKIT-182	7419
23599 7:	590 04/27/2005		EXAM	INER
	IITE, ZELANO & BRA	NIGAN, P.C.	YEE, DE	BORAH
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		1742	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/0 1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/-
Office Action Summary		10/618,724	IKUYA KUROSAKI ET AL	
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
		Deborah Yee	1742	
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may - If the period for reply specified abo - If NO period for reply is specified a - Failure to reply within the set or extensi	"HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 illing date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a repl bove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statute er than three months after the mailin	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.
Status				
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	— s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application	n is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance	e with the practice under <i>t</i>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above clai 5)□ Claim(s) is/are 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are re 7)□ Claim(s) is/are 8)□ Claim(s) are s	m(s) is/are withdra e allowed. ejected. e objected to.			
Application Papers				
Applicant may not requ Replacement drawing	on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a) test that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	er. accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is n a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * 1. ☑ Certified copie 2. ☐ Certified copie 3. ☐ Copies of the application fro	nade of a claim for foreign c) None of: s of the priority document s of the priority document certified copies of the prio m the International Burea	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	·	•		
Notice of References Cited (PTG2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-10-03	Drawing Review (PTO-948) ht(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/618,724 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The recitation "Cu-S type precipitates" is indefinite. Note that the addition of the word "type" to an otherwise definite expression is held to be indefinite because it is unclear what "type" was intended to convey, see MPEP 2173.05©.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 to 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 406057383.
- 6. The English abstract of JP'383 teaches an Fe-Ni or Fe-Ni-Co alloy material having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap establishes a prima facie case of obviousness because it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the claimed alloy ranges from the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,724

Art Unit: 1742

broader disclosure of the prior art because the prior art has the same utility (shadow mask). See MPEP 2144.05.

- 7. More specifically, alloy 17 of JP'383 on pages 7 and 8 meet the claimed composition and on page 11 is processed by annealing at 810C (within the claimed annealing temperature range of 650 to 1000C) for 30 minutes.
- 8. Even though JP'383 does not teach MnS or CuS as recited by the claims, such would be expected since composition and process limitations are closely met and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- Also the English abstract of JP'383 teaches adding Nb in amounts of less than
 and hence overlaps applicant's Nb range of 0.1 to 1.0% recited by claims 3 and
- 10. Claims1,3,5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese patent 2001335894 alone or in view of Japanese patent 406057383
- 11. The English abstract of JP'894 discloses an Fe-Ni alloy material having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap establishes a prima facie case of obviousness because it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the claimed alloy ranges from the broader disclosure of the prior art because the prior art has the same utility (shadow mask) and similar property of improved etching. See MPEP 2144.05.
- 12. More specifically, prior art alloy 35 in Table 1 on page 46 meets the claimed composition, and is processed by annealing at 600-1200C (overlaps 600-1000C), see paragraph 36 on page 6.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/618,724

Art Unit: 1742

- 13. Also similar to applicants, the English abstract of JP'894 teaches the presence of MnS at more than 1,500 pieces/mm2 (overlaps at least 2,000 pieces/mm2) at a diameter of 0.05 to 1 microns (overlaps 0.01 to 3 microns).
- 14. Even though CuS recited by the claim is not taught by prior art, such would be expected since composition and process limitations are closely met and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 15. Even though Nb is not taught, such would not be patentable difference since it is a common additive to include in Fe-Ni alloys for shadow masks as evident of JP'383, and hence would an obvious modification to incorporate in to the JP'894 alloy.
- 16. The unapplied references have been cited to further depict the state of the art in Fe-Ni alloys for shadow mask.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,724 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah Xee Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dy